

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,639	08/16/2006	Toshio Isozaki	294568US0PCT	2292	
OBLON, SPIN	7590 07/14/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S	TREET	LACLAIR, DARCY D			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1796			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2009	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/589,639

Art Unit: 1796

Attachment to Advisory Action

 Applicants' amendment filed 7/6/2009 has not been entered given that it raises other new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

With respect to other new issues, amended Claim 1, from which all claims depend now incorporates the limitations of Claims 5 and 9 (1 to 20 mass parts of inorganic filler) and Claims 6 and 10 (1 to 15 parts of impact resistance improver) and specifies the type of inorganic filler (talc, supported at paragraph [0025]) and impact resistance improver (core-shell elastomer, supported at paragraph [0026]), as well as to include a limitation requiring PTFE in an amount of 0.1 to 2 mass parts (supported at paragraphs [0034] and [0035]). Furthermore, Claim 2 has been amended to recite a Markush group of copolymers for the amorphous styrene resin. (Supported at par [0024]) The scope of the amended claims is materially different from what it was at the time of the final rejection and, as such, it raises new isssues requiring further consideration. The Mitsuhashi Declaration of 7/6/2009 is noted but it is untimely as it is presented after a final and, further, without any previous discussion with examiner as to whether such a declaration would be entertained especially following a final action.

As the amendment is not being entered, those of applicant's arguments with regard to overcoming the prior art rejections based on the possibility of entry of amendment are rendered moot. Application/Control Number: 10/589,639 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

3. Note: Should applicant enter amendments to overcome the 112 rejections only

in a separate communication, that will be entered and:

The 112 first paragraph rejection over Claim 2 would be withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment reciting a Markush group defining the amorphous styrene resin

of component (B), which is supported in the specification at paragraph [0024].

The 112 first paragraph and second paragraph rejections over Claim 8 would be

withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment correcting the misnomer "monomer."

/D. D. L./

Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Vasu Jagannathan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/589,639	ISOZAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Darcy D. LaClair	1796	

	Darcy D. LaClair	1796						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		-						
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. .ED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under as we been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ONTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100					
 (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red		ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See attached Advisory</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected claims.						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	oplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13 Other:								
	/D. D. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796							